

REMARKS

In response to the official office action of July 8, 2004, applicant has canceled claims 1-4 in view of the prior art. Applicant has also rewritten claim 5 as a new base claim including the limitations of the original base claim and any intervening claims. In addition, applicant has amended claim 5 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 by substituting the word "including" for the words "such as". Also, applicant disagrees with the rejection of claims 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103 in view of the stated prior art, because Robb doesn't describe nor suggest the structural limitations of the lever being hingedly attached to the back wall of the handheld housing; instead, the lever in Robb is attached inside the handheld housing not to the back wall of the handheld housing. Further, Yang doesn't describe nor suggest having caller ID unlike the present invention; instead, Yang describes having text messaging which is not the same as Caller ID which identifies the person making the incoming telephone call. In addition, Yang doesn't describe nor suggest phone connect and disconnect switches unlike the present invention. In regards to Yang, there is no need to have phone connect and disconnect switches because all messages are visually received as text messages on the display screen. The incoming messages in Yang are not vocally received as incoming telephone calls unlike the present invention where the user can vocally communicate back and forth. In the present invention, a user can switch from receiving TV transmitted signals to receiving telephone transmitted voice responsive messages unlike that described and claimed in Yang. Also, as to claim 8, Broberg doesn't describe nor suggest the structural limitations of the present invention with the VCR jack in the present invention being disposed in one of the walls of the handheld housing; instead, the VCR jack in Broberg, as described in column 3, lines 22-23, is disposed in a standard television receiver and/or monitor not a handheld housing as described in the

present invention.

Applicant has not added any new subject matter to the claims and respectfully request that the examiner takes the foregoing into consideration and that she be granted Letters Patent for her invention.

Respectfully Submitted,
For: Ivy Whitsey-Anderson

By: 

David A. Lingbeck
Reg. No. 34,861
P.O. Box 500
St. Michael, Minnesota 55376
(763) 497-0529